Muz 34]

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

1906

File No. 71

Serial No 1–6.

Filed. September 1907

SUBJECT.

Appeal petition of Venkatamma re the Puja Hak of the Sri Anjeneyaswami temple at Waderahalli in the Tumkur Taluk

190 .

MYSORE SECRETARIAT.

OFFICE NOTE

File No. 71-06

Subject.

Re Pujarike of the Sri Anjaneyaswamy temple at Vaderahalli, Tumkur Taluk.

From

The Dy Comm^r, Tumkur Dt.

Letter No. 50 Dated 22nd May 1907.

The Sri Anjaneyaswamy temple at Vaderahalli in the Tumkur Taluk is a Muzrai institution endowed with the marginally noted inam lands.

S.R. Nos 266 & 278. Vide Inam Title deed No 111 Sch A.

One Moganna was the Pujari of the temple. He died about 16 years ago leaving no male issues. On his death, one Lakshmi Narasimhaiya — the husband of the deceased's grand daughter (daughter's daughter) took up the worship and performed

D^r's report No 885 of 24.4.07, Sch B.

it satisfactorily for 10 years thereafter
In the year 1901, on account of
misunderstanding, Venkatamma
widow of the deceased Pujari, got
the work of worship performed
perfunctorily by one Srinivasaraja
- her daughter's son, a boy of 5 &
or 6 years. The young boy performed
Puja for about 5 months when
the villagers petitioned the local
Officers complaining that Puja
was not properly performed,
whereupon, the late Mr. B. S. Krishnaiengar,
then Dy Commr., gave Venkatamma
one month's time to produce a
person of her choice who was
qualified to do Puja. Venkatamma
having failed to do so, he ordered
on the 2nd April 1902, that
Lakshmi Narasimhaiya should
be allowed to continue the Puja
for at least 5 years from the date
of the order, & until Srinivasaraja
became qualified [illegible]

His order dt 2.4.02
Sd/- C.

Muz 8A]

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

In July 1902 L. Lakshmana
agaimurg that she might be
permitted to get the Puja performed
by her pan from Perumanadu,
whereupon M/s M S Narayana Iyen
thar Dy Commr ordered on the
slip D 3rd March 1903 that Lakshmi
Narasimhaiya should himself
do the duties.

Against the foregoing orders,
slip E. Venkatamma appealed to Govt
upon which the records of enquiry
were sent for. The Dy Commr
(illegible) submitted a detailed report,
M/s K.S. Doraswamy Iyer) in which he says that Lakshmi
B Narasimhaiya has been performing
the duties of Archaka for the
past 18 years & that it is running
[illegible] to [illegible] again

4

File No. of 190 | Muzrai Secretariat.

and maintain it in proper.

The appellant Venkatamma prays that one Narasimhaiya whom she calls as her brother-in-law's son, should be appointed Pujari.

A number of the residents of the village have presented a petn. to this office in which they say that Venkatamma is a fickle minded woman not caring so much for the Puja as for the income of the inam land, at one time wanting Lakshmi Narasimhaiya to do duties & at another desiring Narasimhaiya be appointed as such. They say that as Lakshmi Narasimhaiya has been also satisfactorily doing the duties he maybe allowed to do so until Viswanatham attains majority

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. of 190

aid qualifies himself for the post.

The D. C. mentd. in his letter
No 50 of the 22nd Jan 1907 states
that the Barawan'd of the Puja
office stands in the name of
Narasimhaiya (minor) who is
Venkatamma's (appellants) daughter's
son, that Narasimhaiya whom
the appellant now wants to be
appointed as Pujari is her remote
agnate cousin, & that Lakshmi
Narasimhaiya is the husband
of the appellants grand daughter
(daughter's daughter).

(Alamelu Express)

Submitted
4/2/07

Muzrai Secretary's remarks:-

Three Deputy Commissioners Messrs. B.

GPB—3,000—22-6 06

File No. of 190 | Mužrai Secretariat.

G. Krishna Iyengar. M. S. Narayan Rao and K. S. Dorasami Iyer have ordered that Lakshmi Narasimhiaya should do duties during the minority of Tirurarana iaya. I think there are not sufficient reasons to disturb those orders. The Baraward is in the name of Tiru - naraniah who is a Dohitra of Moganna the original Pujari and the duties are being satisfactorily per formed by Lakshmi Narasimiah who is the husband of the grand daughter of the deceased Moganna. Until Tirunaraniah attains majority, Lakshminarsimiah may be allowed to do the duties. The villagers also wish it,

Venkataruma's petition may be rejected and she may be informed that until Tirunaraniah attains age and qualifies himself for the Office, Government see no reason to disturb the existing arrangement under which Lakshmi Narasimiah is satisfactorily conducting the duties.

Submitted to the Dewan thro' the 2nd Councillor. } 14

Venkatarama Rao

30th August 1907

Page ( )

Muz. 8A]

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

I agree

30/8/07

35

30/8/07

6132

31.8.07

Issue done

5/9/07

Subject to the 2½% for perusal

6/9/07

Seen

6/9/07

Draft accd

6/9/07

Draft subd
EA

GPB—3,000—22-6-06

File No.	of 190	Muzrai Secretariat.





C

11/12/06

అ ప్పిలేట్ కాండ్రీ —

1 ఈ కార్యవర్తి స్థిరంగా నిర్వహించిన ఆదేశాలు ఈ ఆదేశాలు ఈ ఆదేశాలు
దీర్ఘకాలిక ఆదేశాలు ఆదేశాలు ఆదేశాలు ఆదేశాలు ఆదేశాలు
అప్పిలేట్ అధికారి ఆదేశాలు ఆదేశాలు ఆదేశాలు ఆదేశాలు
తిప్పి పంపడం ఆదేశాలు ఆదేశాలు ఆదేశాలు ఆదేశాలు
కారణం ఆదేశాలు ఆదేశాలు ఆదేశాలు ఆదేశాలు ఆదేశాలు
దీర్ఘకాలిక ఆదేశాలు ఆదేశాలు ఆదేశాలు ఆదేశాలు ఆదేశాలు
లాగా ఆదేశాలు ఆదేశాలు ఆదేశాలు ఆదేశాలు ఆదేశాలు
ఆదేశాలు



To. L Anantaswami Rao
Munsif Secy.
Bangalore

Pl/please
M 30/7/07

Respected Sir,

We, the undersigned, the Shanbogue & the establisher of the Temple Sri Thabaniah the son of Narasadaraw & other devotees of the Sri Anjaneyaswamy Temple at Vaderalle in the Tumkur Taluk approached you with a petition on 19.4.07 but it was returned on 27.4.07 to us to be presented with a proper Stamp affixed since the 2nd petition.

The Sri Anjaneyaswami Temple at Vaderahalli is a Government Temple. Moganna was the hakdar poojari. He died about 16 years back leaving no sons. Ever since his death Lakshmi Narasimhaya his daughter's son-in-law has been worshipping the God to our entire satisfaction. During his poojari-ship, he has got prepared many ornaments for the God and has extended the temple building at his own cost. He is godfearing man & does pooja regularly and properly. The present Baravaddar poojari Thimmarmaiya an orphan boy now a Minor is a daughter's son of the late hakdar poojari Moganna.

Venkatamma Moganna Widow is a woman who cares neither for the temple nor the proper performance of any pooja in it. All that she cares

for to only the payment of the pooja-ee of the temple Inam Land to her regularly every year She was recommended by me and now seeing our party now took another time she was Content to have the pooja performed by Lakshmi-Narasimhaya. Being ill advised recently the Woman to that Lakshmi Narasimhaya not should not perform the pooja According to her own choice & her own Grandson Thirumaraya was made Barav-ardar poojaris by the Deputy Commissioner She now wants to transfer the Barav-ard to the name of one Narasimhaya whom she calls her brother-in-law's son, which relationship is quite false. Narasimhaya is Moganna's four or five degrees distant Cousin.

This Narasimhaya and his ancestors were all Carpenters & Black-Smiths by profession. They do not know how to perform temple pooja owing to this defect in them & by their negligence they lost their pooja hak of Muttarayaswamie temple at Tumkur & Narasimhaswamie Temple at Batar-a-de and of Anjaneyaswamie Temple at Waddarahalli in the Tumkur Talu.

In these Circumstances, we earnestly beg that, in the interest of the temple and of the orphan boy Thirumarnaiya and Considering the long period of over 16 years during which Lakshmin Narasimiah has performed the duty even in the times of plague Satisfactorily and Considering also the last improvement he has effected to the temple the latest last three Deputy Commissioners has given no order.

pooja from Lakshmi Narasimhaya now you will very graciously retain Lakshmi Narasimhaya as acting poojari until such time that the Barawaddar Thirumarnaiya is come & qualified to take up the work.

For this act of kindness, we will ever pray for your long life and prosperity.

We beg to remain
Respectfully
Your most obedient & humble
Servants

29th ఫిబ్రవరి

1 నరసింహదేవర
2 చిన్న యంగ్లోయప్ప
3 తుంబ యప్ప
4 చాంగరకిష్టప్ప
5 వేంకటప్పర యప్ప
6 రాము యప్పనాయుడు నరసప్పగారు
7 నరసింహయ్య
8 Siddalingaiah
9 కేంగదనాయక
10 పెద్ద చిన్నయప్ప
11 ఓబ్బ చిన్నయప్ప
12 నరసమ్మయ్య
13 తిమ్మయ్య
14 ముమ్మంగ
15 చిన్న యప్పనరసింహ శెట్టి
16 పెద్ద వాలదయ్య
17 లక్ష్మీనారాయణ
18 లక్ష్మీనారాయణ
19 లక్ష్మీనారాయణ
20 లక్ష్మీనారాయణ
21 [illegible]
22 [illegible]
23 [illegible]
24 పెద్దలంగ్లోయప్ప
25 లక్ష్మీనారాయణ
26 వీరభద్రయ్య
27 [illegible]
28 [illegible]
29 [illegible]
30 [illegible]
31 [illegible]
32 [illegible]
33 [illegible]
34 [illegible]

Muz. 3]

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA
OF MYSORE.

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No.

The accompanying Petition No. 2904, 3722
dated 11th Decr. 1906 and received at this
7th Febry 1907
Office from Venkatamma appealing
against The Dy Commrs order
re The Puje Hak of The Sri
Anjaneya Swamy temple at
Wadeerhalli in The Tumkur
Taluk,

are ~~is~~ forwarded, together with its one
enclosure, to the Dy. Commr.
Tumkur Distt. for ~~early~~
submission of a detailed
report ~~thereon~~, setting forth
the facts of the case, together
with the vernacular
records relating thereto.

By Order,

13/2/07

Secretary,
Muzrai Department.

BANGALORE,
19

Received by post / regd
Office for necessary
Action 2/3/07

AV

Refer
2/3/07



మేజిస్ట్రేట్ వారికి

మనవి చేయునది ఏమనగా

నేను ఈ క్రింది తెలుపబడిన కారణముల వలన ఈ రోజు అనగా 2-3-07 తేదీన ఉదయం 10 గంటలకు కోర్టుకు హాజరు కాలేకపోయినందులకు క్షమాపణ కోరుచున్నాను.

నేను ఈ రోజు ఉదయం 8 గంటలకు నా ఇంటి నుండి బయలుదేరి కోర్టుకు వచ్చుటకు ఆటో కొరకు ఎదురు చూస్తూ ఉండగా ఆటో రాకపోవడం వలన ఆలస్యమైనది. ఆటో కొరకు ఎదురు చూస్తూ ఉండగా ఒక ఆటో రాగా అందులో ఎక్కి కోర్టుకు వచ్చుటకు బయలుదేరగా ఆటో డ్రైవర్ ఆటోను ఎక్కువ వేగంతో నడపడం వలన ఆటో అదుపు తప్పి ఆటో బోల్తా పడినది. ఆటోలో ఉన్న నేను మరియు ఇతర ప్రయాణికులు ఆటో బోల్తా పడిన వెంటనే ఆటో నుండి బయటకు వచ్చి ఆటో డ్రైవర్ ను ఆటో నడపడం ఎందుకు ఆపివేయలేదని ప్రశ్నించగా ఆటో డ్రైవర్ ఆటో బ్రేకులు పనిచేయలేదని చెప్పినాడు. ఆటో బోల్తా పడిన వెంటనే ఆటోలో ఉన్న ప్రయాణికులు మరియు ఆటో డ్రైవర్ ఆటోను పక్కకు తీసి ఆటోలో ఉన్న ప్రయాణికులను వేరే ఆటోలలో ఎక్కించుకొని వెళ్ళిపోయినారు. ఆటో బోల్తా పడిన ప్రదేశం నుండి కోర్టు 10 కి.మీ. దూరం ఉన్నది. ఆటో బోల్తా పడిన ప్రదేశం నుండ

UP 11/3

Muz I A]

190

From

To

The Deputy Commr
Tumkur District.

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.
No.

Enclosure No.

In inviting reference to this office memo. no. 4104 Dy 22nd July 1904 requesting the submission of a report on the appeal petition of — Venkatamma re the Inje Huk of the Sri Anjaneya Swamy temple at Waderhally Tumkur Taluk, has the honor to forward herewith X. the marginally noted — petitions on the subject

no. 3919 Dy 21:2:1904.
& " - 4048 Dy 2:3:1904.

GPB—8,000—21-7-08

and To request an ~~very~~ early submission of the report already called for thereon.

By order,

Sd/- 11/3/04

Secretary.

843

AV

Rejd 4908 26.4.07

hs 26/4/07

Dft - Submitted. AV 27/4/07

190 6.07

From L. Dora Swamie Ayer Esq
Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

To L. Anantha Swamie Esq
Secretary to the Govt. of
H. H. the Maharaja of
Dated 24th of Mysore Dept. of
Despatched 25th. April
Received 1907
General No.
No. 885

Enclosure No. 5 and one file.

His offl. Memo No 4104 Dated 22nd Feb'y 07

Subty a report on the Pooja hak of the Sri Anjaneya Swamie Temple at Vaideera Halli in the Tumkur Taluk.

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Tumkur District

Dated, Tumkur, 16th April 1907

From

K. Dasa Swami Iyer Esqr
Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

To

Lt Anuvita Swami Rao Esqr B.A
Secretary to the Govt: of H.H.
the Maha Rajah of Mysore
Muzrai Department.
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your official Memo No: 4104 dated the 22nd February 1907 and docket No 4532 dated the 18th ultimo, calling for a report on the petition of Venkatamma appealing against my order in the matter of the Pooja hak of Sri Anjaneya Swami Temple at Vaderhalli in the Tumkur Taluk, I have the honor to state that the petitioner is the widow of one Moganna, Archak of the temple in question, which is

a Muzrai Institution. Mogamma died soonless about 16 years ago. As on the death of Mogamma there was none to worship the deity, one Lakshmi Narasimhiyya who is married to the deceased's grand daughter (daughter's daughter) and who was in the deceased's care, entered upon the archak duty and performed it satisfactorily for about 10 years.

In 1901, owing to some misunderstanding between the Petitioner and the afore-said Lakshmi Narasimhiah, the former did not allow the latter to worship the deity but got the worship done very perfunctorily, by a boy named Thirumaraniya about 6 or 7 years old, he being her grand son (daughter's son). This

state of things continued for about five months when on the Complaint of devotees, the then Deputy Commissioner Mr. B. G. Krishna Iyengar gave the Petitioner a months time to produce a person of her choice as qualified to do worship properly. The Petitioner however failed to do so. The Deputy Commissioner, therefore, ordered that Lakshmi Narasimhiya should continue to do the archak duty for at least 5 years thence and that after that period Thirumaraniya may be given it if found fit at the time. (vide Deputy Commissioner's order No: 1578 dated the 2nd April 1902 - Paper No: 4).

In July 1902, the Petitioner again urged that she might

be permitted to take the archek duty from her grand-son Thiru Narasinja. On further enquiry, Deputy Commissioner Mr. M.S Narayana Rao ordered the pujari work to be taken from Lakshmi Narasimhiya himself (order no 1408 dated the 30 March 1903).

On July 1906, the petitioner again moved with a prayer that the archekship may be registered in the name of one Narasimhiya a nephew, viz (brother's son) of the petitioner's husband. As final orders had already been passed on the subject by my predecessor I declined to reopen the case. It is this order that is now appealed against.

Lakshmi Narasimhiya has been performing the temple worship satisfactorily for the past 16 years with the exception

for a few months in 1901. He is reported to be evincing much interest in the temple affair and to have kept the buildings in good repair.

The vernacular records relating to the subject are herewith forwarded for reference together with the petitions received with the official Memo and the docket under reference.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant.

M. Sreenivasulu
For Deputy Commissioner

given by Nar
and Venkatamma, this
fact is contradicted and
Lakshmi Narasimhiah
is stated to bear no-
relationship whatever
to the deceased Archak.
The Deputy Crmr is therefore
requested to ascertain the
exact relationship if any,
of the two above-named
individuals to the appellant
and report the same to
this office.

The vernacular records
are herewith returned for
reference and re-submission.

By order,

30/4/07

DG)

Secretary

ks
30/4/07

The Vernacular records received
under cover of the letter cited
above, it is observed that
there are conflicting statements
with respect to the relationship
that exists between Narasimhiah
and the appellant Venkatamma,
and between the latter and Lakshmi
Narasimhiah. In one place,
it is stated that Narasimhiah
was the nephew of the
appellant's husband mogappa,
the late Archak of the temple,
and that in a petition
of the 28th July last, signed
by a number of the devotees
of the temple, it is stated
that Narasimhiah is a
distant cousin of Venkatamma.
With regard to Lakshmi Narasimhiah,
it is stated that he was
the grand-son (grand-daughter's
husband) of mogappa,
but in the statements

given by Nar
and Venkatamma, this
fact is contradicted and
Lakshmi Narasimhiah
is stated to bear no-
relationship whatever
to the deceased Archak.
The Deputy Commr is therefore
requested to ascertain the
exact relationship if any,
of the two above-named
individuals to the appellant
and report the same to
this office.

The vernacular records
are herewith returned for
reference and re-submission.

By order,

DBH 30/4/07

Secretary.

ks
30/4/07

51

1907-08

From The Deputy Commr
Tumkur District.

To The Secretary to the
Govt of Mysore Mwy
Deptt

Dated 22nd
Despatched 23rd } July/1907
Received
General No.
No. 50

Enclosure No. 2 files.

His docket no 5354
dated 17th May 1907.

Submitting further
particulars in re
the Puja Kaikoplu
Sri Anjaneyaswamy
Temple at Vaderahalli
in the Tumkur Taluk

380

Before engs/Heard
Jun 17, 07
by

Deputy Commissioner's office,
Tumkur District.

Dated, Tumkur, 22nd July 1907.

From
K Doraswami Iyer Esqr
Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District.

To
L. Anantaswami Rao Esqr B.A.
Secretary to the Government of H.H.
the Maha Rajah of Mysore,
Muzrai Department.
Bangalore.

Sir,

In reply to your docket No: 5354 dated the 17th May 1907 calling for certain particulars in re the Puja hak of the Sri Anjaneya Swami Temple at Vaderahalli in the Tumkur Taluk, I have the honor to state that in accordance with my predecessor's order nos

15,78 and 1408 dated the 2nd April 1902 and the 3rd March 1903 respectively, the bavard of the Pujari office stands in the name of Thirumaraniya, grand son (daughter's son) of the appellant Venkatamma who is a soulless widow.

As regards the relation-ship that exists between the appellant Venkatamma and Narasimhiya and between the former and Lakshmi-Narasimhiah it is ascertained from enquiry that Narasimhiah is a remote agnati cousin of the appellant Venkatamma and that Lakshmi Narasimhiya is the husband of a granddaughter (daughter's daughter) of the appellant Vide genealogical table (Paper No 70 and 71 on the file).

The file of vernacular records with appeal petitions and their enclosures is herewith resubmitted for reference.

I have the honor to be,
Sir
Your most obedient Servant.

[illegible]
for Deputy Commissioner

(Draft)

Draft as per Dewan's orders
Murrai

516.

Read:-

Petition Regd. No. 2904, dated 11th December 1906, and subsequent ones received from Venkatamma, widow of one Moffgauna, late Archak of the Sri Anjaneyaswami temple at Vaderahalli in the Tumkur Taluk, appealing against the orders of the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur Dt., re the appointment of the Pujari of the said temple.

Letter No 50, dated 22nd July 1907, from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, submitting the records relating to the above.

Memorial Regd No 526, dated 29th July 1907, received from Narasi Devaru and other

residents of Vaderahalli, praying
'that one Lakshmi Narasimiah
'may be allowed to continue to
perform the Archak's duties in
the temple during the minority
of the permanent barawanddar
Ivunnaramiah.

Order thereon:—

The facts of the case are as follows:—

The temple of Sri Anjaneya swami at Vedarahalli in the Tumkur Taluk is a muzrai institution endowed with inam lands in the Tumkur Taluk, as noted in the margin

Sur. Nos. 266 & 278, subject to a quit-rent of Re 1-

2. One Moganna was the Pujari of the temple. He died about 16 years ago leaving no male issue.

On his death, one Lakshmi Nara-
simhayya – the husband of the
deceased's grand daughter, took
up the Archak's duties and per-
formed them satisfactorily for
10 years thereafter. In the year
1901, on account of misunder-
standing, Venkatamma, widow
of the deceased Pujari, got the
worship performed in the temple
perfunctorily by one Trivinara-
mah,– her daughter's son,
a boy of 5 or 6 years of age. This
young boy performed the puja
for hardly 5 months, when the
villagers petitioned the local
officers complaining that puja
was not properly performed,
whereupon the late Mr R.G.
Krishnamurthy, then Deputy Com-
missioner, allowed Venk

one month's time to produce a person of her choice who was qualified to do puja. Venkatamma having failed to do so, he ordered on the 2nd April 1902, that ~~the~~ & Lakshmi Narasimhayya referred to above, should be allowed to continue to do the puja for at least 6 years from the date of the order, and ~~until~~ until Srinivasaiah became qualified to do the duties himself.

3. In July 1902, Venkatamma having again urged that she might be permitted to get the puja performed by her grandson Srinivasaiah, Deputy Commissioner Mr M. S. Narayana Rao ordered on 3rd March 1903 that Lakshmi asimhayya should himself the duties.

4 Against the foregoing orders, Venkatamma has appealed to Govt praying that one Nara-simhayya, ~~whom she calls~~ her brother-in-law's son, may be appointed Pujari.

5. A number of the residents of the Vederahalli village state in their petition read above, that Venkatamma is a fickle-minded woman not caring so much for the puja in the temple as for the income of the inam land, and pray that as Lakshmi Narasimhayya has been satisfactorily doing the duties, he may be allowed to do so until Srinivasaiah attains majority and qualifies himself for the post.

6 From the records submitted by the Deputy Commissioner, it is observed that the barawand of the Archak's post in the Sri Anjaneyaswami temple at Vaderahalli stands in the name of minor Ivunnaramah, who is the daughter's son of Mogamma, the original pujari of the temple, and that the duties of the post are being satisfactorily performed by Lakshmi Narasmihayya who is the husband of the grand-daughter of the deceased Mogamma. All the 3 Deputy Commissioners Messrs B.G. Krishnamurthy, M S Narayana Rao and K.S Doraisami Iyer have ordered that Lakshmi Narasmhaiyya should do the duties during the minority

of Trimaramah. The villagers also wish for it. Under these circumstances, the Govt see no reason to disturb the existing arrangement under which Lakshmi Narasimhaiyya is satisfactorily conducting the duties of puja. The Deputy Commissioner's order is accordingly confirmed and the appeal petition of Venkatamma rejected.

Dewan's order) 16/9/07

Secretary.

To

The Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur Dt., Ret. encls.

Endt. to Ret. Rajahmundry

Muz. 21]

ನಂ.

ಮೈಸೂರು ಶ್ರೀಮನ್ ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರ ಗವರ್ನಮೆಂಟ್ ಮುಜರಾಯಿ ಇಲಾಖೆಯಿಂದ

[illegible]

(ನೀವು ಹುಜೂರಿಗೆ ಜಾಹಿರ್ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡಿರುವ ತಕ್ರಾರಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ 2904ನೇ ನಮೂನಾ ——— ನೇ ನಂಬರ್ ಅರ್ಜಿ [illegible] ಆಜ್ಞೆಯಾಗಿರುತ್ತದೆ. ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಅನುಸಾರವಾಗಿ ನಿಮ್ಮ ತಕ್ರಾರಿನ ವಿಚಾರಣೆಯನ್ನು ಇದೇ ತಿಂಗಳ [illegible] ತಾರೀಖಿನಂದು ಮಧ್ಯಾಹ್ನ [illegible] ಗಂಟೆಗೆ ಈ ಕಛೇರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಡೆಸಲಾಗುವುದೆಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದೆ. ———

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]